

The other side of 'Refusal of the national hostage'

The media has been seriously infected with a neo-virus – PSY-OP2008 originated from SPDC of Burma since August 20, 2008. Many people around the world have been affected uneasily.

The excerpt of the news release appeared in the mouthpiece of the spdc on August 24, 2008 stated as- *On the 20th, according to the schedule of Mr. Gambari's visit in Myanmar, he has arranged to meet Daw Aung San Su Kyi at 10:00 am but Daw Aung San Su Kyi refused the appointment. Therefore, Mr. Gambari tried to get an appointment by sending a personal letter to Daw Aung San Su Kyi through officials concerned. However, she didn't receive the letter and there was no reply. From 10:30 to 11:40 hrs, officials tried to inform that they want to send personal letter of Mr. Gambari by using the speaker at the backyard gate of Daw Aung San Su Kyi's residence. From 10:45 to 10:55 hrs, they also informed that they want to give Mr. Gambari's letter and if not able to receive the letter, it will be stuck on the gate wall. At 14:40 hrs, officials left the letter at the gate.*

On the 22nd, with the request of Mr. Gambari who wanted to try again for the appointment, two members of Mr. Gambari, Mr. Erwan Pouchous and Ms. Hua Jiang together with U Soe Win, Deputy Director-General of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Police Col. Win Naing Tun of Myanmar Police Force visited Daw Aung San Su Kyi's residence, at 07:50 hrs. They tried to make contact by repeatedly informing the visit of two members of Mr. Gambari in front of her residence gate from 08:00 to 08:25 hrs. But there was no response. Despite Mr. Gambari's second attempt, he therefore didn't have a chance to meet Daw Aung San Su Kyi. However, Mr. Gambari tried to meet Daw Aung San Su Kyi, there was no response and he could not meet her on that day. (Excerpts from The New Lights of Myanmar 24-Aug-2008 and MRTV-3 news)

It is not uncommon that the official media of spdc presents news and articles of propaganda for good for the regime and for disgrace for oppositions.

Who told that *Daw Aung San Su Kyi* (DASSK) refused to see or accept Mr. Gambari?
So far, only the official source of the spdc has released the information.

Who arranged the meeting for DASSK and Mr. Gambari?
Only the assigned personnel with permission of the spdc members.

Who can grant permission to go inside the compound of DASSK's residence to see her?
Only the assigned personnel allowed by the spdc members can; without their permission one who dares to do is automatically designated as a betrayer.

DASSK is a detained person under heavy security, surveillance and guards. She cannot do anything as she wishes because she has been imprisoned under house arrest. The chance to meet, to talk and deal with DASSK is manipulated only by the very high authorities with permission of the spdc members. The residence is really owned by DASSK's family but under the surrogated guardian – spdc, the national kidnapers; without their permission nothing can be dealt for the national hostage – DASSK.

Is it the proper and decent dealing with the respectful national and Asian heroine by calling out by the backyard gate using the speaker from outside?

It is no need for the concerned persons to call out DASSK from the gate to deliver the letter or information as they can get proper permission from the very high authorities for reaching DASSK personally according to the proper procedure. It is not necessary for the concerned persons to stick the letter at the gate; if they have the strong will the letter can be reached to DASSK by the proper mean with the grant of the higher authorities. However, the spdc members deliberately and intentionally want to create the situation as such for propaganda of that DASSK is ignoring the request of Mr. Gambari and undermining the prestige of the UN special envoy. DASSK can do nothing without permission except sitting and waiting to be contacted as the detained hostage.

Here the following queries are raised and have to be judged for answers.

Did DASSK actually know the meeting with Mr. Gambari?

(Who can guarantee that DASSK got the prior notification for the meeting with Mr. Gambari)

Had DASSK been well informed for the prepared meeting?

(How can we know whether the authorities honestly and officially notify DASSK for meeting with the special UN envoy or not)

Did the authorities really arrange to take DASSK for the meeting with Mr. Gambari?

(Just for show jobs, the authorities may send a vehicle with official/s to DASSK's residence for transport with the instruction not to contact anyone including DASSK just entering and waiting; so how did DASSK know the vehicle is for what purpose; after some hours the vehicle got out of the compound without DASSK as instructed)

Did DASSK really refuse to meet the UN special envoy?

(Only DASSK can give the correct answer)

Is there any eyewitness to testify that DASSK has voluntarily turned down the appointment?

(very doubtful)

Is there any record of verbal or written evidence for DASSK's refusal?

(If the concerned authorities are sincere they will make record for evidence)

Was DASSK given the letter of Gambari?

(How can we prove whether the letter was given to DASSK)

If the answers are 'NO', the other side of the refusal of DASSK will be assessed with common sense. The right answers can be released by only one person – the national hostage.

The information for the refusal of DASSK to meet Mr. Gambari will reflect the consequences –

*DASSK is a villain for the process of Burmese democracy

*DASSK is a rude fellow (commented by one of the Japanese diplomats – *Jarry Largen's "It's un-Asian to let the envoy wait in vain for her to show up," said a Japanese diplomat, who closely follows Burma."It seems unusually rude, to the extent that it gives the impression of being insensitive." It will only serve to further undermine Mr. Gambari's credibility and strengthen the regime's belief that she is ill-tempered and uncompromising, the diplomat added. August 21,2008}{ the diplomat has been infected with neo-virus PSY-OP2008 and fallen in the trap of spdc - author }*

*DASSK is not cooperated with the UN good office

The information has been manipulated by the spdc's members performing psychological operation with PSY-OP2008 neo-virus

to defame DASSK,

to destroy the image of the NLD,

to get the upper hand in the political atmosphere of Burma,

to lure UN, Asean and regional countries for supporting their road map.

The spdc's leader Than Shwe is the champion of the psychological operation as career and the Liaison minister Aung Kyi is the master of brain-wash program for military personnel.

The spdc has tried for assassination of DASSK and NLD leaders at the corner near her compound in November 11, 1996, and in the Deparin crisis in May 30, 2003. Those are the assassination of life of the Burmese charisma. Now the spdc has plotted the assassination of fame, prestige and dignity of the Nobel peace laureate.

In accordance with the recent development of the mission of the UN and the special envoy everyone can wisely comment that Mr. Gambri et al squeeze milk from the horn. UN and Mr. Gambari has been fallen in the SPDC's trap.

Dr. Maung Maung Hla Kyaing